

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE <div style="text-align: center;">J</div>		PAGE OF PAGES <div style="text-align: center;">1 1</div>	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. <div style="text-align: center;">0002</div>		3. EFFECTIVE DATE <div style="text-align: center;">04-Jun-2007</div>		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY <div style="text-align: center;">CODE</div> US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS-CETAC-CT TRANSATLANTIC PROG. CNTR, CETAC-CT PO BOX 2250 WINCHESTER VA 22604-1450		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) <div style="text-align: center;">CODE</div> <div style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold;">See Item 6</div>					
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)				X		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. W917PM-07-R-0110	
				X		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 24-May-2007	
						10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
						10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE		FACILITY CODE					
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.							
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)							
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.							
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.							
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).							
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:							
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)							
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.							
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) 1. Amendment 0002 is issued to change the proposal due date, correct dollar value for projects in evaluation criteria and forward the information from the pre-solicitation meeting held in Kabul, Afghanistan on 31 May 2007. 2. Solicitation W917PM-07-R-0110 - The due date for proopsals is extended to 3 July 2007. Proposals are still required to be sent to the office in Winchester, VA. 3. In the solicitation documents, SECTION 0100 BIDDING SCHEDULE/INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS, paragraph D. NON-PRICING AND PRICING EVALUATION CRITERIA, paragraph 11. FACTOR 3 - CONTRACTOR'S EXPERIENCE, paragpah a., change third sentence to read "...involve projects up to \$5 million in value...." 4. The information from the pre-solicitation meeting is attached: Power Point Presentation; Attendee List; MFR of Pre-solicitation Meeting.							
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.							
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)				16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)			
				TEL: _____ EMAIL: _____			
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		15C. DATE SIGNED		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 04-Jun-2007	



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
AFGHANISTAN ENGINEER DISTRICT
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
Kabul, Afghanistan
APO, AE 09356

REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF:

CEAED-CT

31 May 2007

MEMORANDUM FOR FILE

SUBJECT: W917PM-07-R-0105 & R-0110 Pre-Solicitation Meeting 31 May 2007

A Pre-solicitation meeting for MATOC solicitations W917PM-07-R-0105 and W917PM-07-R-0110 was held on 31 May 2007 at USACE HQ in Kabul. Representing the Government were Contracting Officers Stella Lejeune and James Sinclair. Some 54 company representatives attended the meeting. A PowerPoint presentation was made that encapsulated the major points of the RFPs. The major points covered were MATOC capacity and contact periods, task order magnitudes and methods of competition, explanation of the Price and Non-Price factors and solicitation contact and website link information. Attendees were told to address questions to TAC Contracting Officer Edna Pond.

Following the presentation some points were clarified as follows:

1. CCR registration is required for US companies only.
2. DBA Insurance costs should be spread over the proposal line items rather than being presented as a lump sum line item.
3. Security costs should be spread over the line items rather than being lumped.

The following questions and requests were presented by the attendees:

1. Since proposals are to be sent to TAC for evaluation it is requested all amendments be completed 6-7 days before RFP closing date.
2. Will a list of attendees and the presentation be made public. Answer-Yes.
3. Are liquidated damages to be used? Answer- Yes, on a task order basis.
4. It was requested to extend the solicitation 3-5 days to allow for FEDEX shipments of proposals.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "James R. Sinclair".

James Sinclair
Contracting Officer

SOLICITATIONS
W917PM-07-R-0105 & 0110

MATOC CONTRACTS
FOR
GENERAL CONSTRUCTION

Stella Lejeune
James Sinclair



Task Orders will be competed on Best Value Trade-off or LPTA

- W917PM-07-R-0105
 - \$1.8 Billion Capacity over 3 years
 - Up to 5 awards
 - Task Orders \$5 M - \$25 range
-
- W917PM-07-R-0110
 - \$750 Million Capacity over 3 years
 - Up to 5 awards
 - Task Orders \$0-\$5M range



Scope of Work

This Scope of Work is for an Indefinite Delivery-Indefinite Quantity multiple award task order contract for general construction and construction related requirements such as replacement in kind, renovation, repairs, and/or new construction, including the execution of design-build construction work throughout Afghanistan. The work is essential for the support of ongoing military operations in Afghanistan, as well as the construction and/or restoration of local, municipal and provincial Afghan facilities, as there is a direct and recognized correlation between the reconstruction of infrastructure and the increase in governance, security and stability of the area.



Basis of Award

Award of contract will be made to the responsible offeror whose proposal contains the combination of those criteria offering the best overall value to the Government. This will be determined by comparing differences in the value of the non-price factors of a proposal with the differences in the cost to the Government. In making this determination, the Government is equally concerned with making an award to an offeror with the best balance of non-pricing standards as compared to cost. However, the Government will not make an award that results in relatively small non-pricing advantages with significantly higher contract cost.



Submit Original and two copies of your proposal:

1. PRICE/CERTIFICATION SUBMITTAL:

- ☐ a. Signed Solicitation, Offer and Award, SF 1442 with Blocks 14-20c completed, including acknowledgment of all amendments
- ☐ b. Completed Proposal Schedule for Sample Task Order
- ☐ c. Completed Representations and Certifications
- ☐ d. Completed Cost Breakdown Sheet for Sample Task Order

2. TECHNICAL SUBMITTAL (Non-Pricing Evaluation Criteria) for Sample Task Order (Performance/Proposal Evaluation Information (Section 00100)):

- ☐ a. Detailed Work Plan (including schedule)
- ☐ b. Past Performance Documentation
- ☐ c. Experience Documentation
- ☐ d. Plan for Maximum Use of Local Resources
- ☐ e. Demonstration of Ability to Operate Effectively in Multiple regions of Afghanistan



Non-Pricing Factors

In evaluating proposals, the Government will consider the following evaluation factors: (i) *Preparation of Detailed Work Plan*, (ii) *Past Performance*, (iii) *Contractor's Experience*, (iv) *Plan for Maximum Use of Local Resources*, and (v) *Demonstration of Ability to Operate Effectively in Multiple Regions of Afghanistan*. These five non-pricing evaluation factors have been presented in descending order of weighted importance. Non-Pricing considerations will have approximately equal weight with pricing considerations in the selection process. In evaluating proposals and in making the contract award, the Government is as concerned with obtaining the best non-pricing features as it is doing so at a competitive reasonable price. The Government will not make an award to an offeror whose superior non-pricing features causes the total cost of the project to become unreasonably high. Nor is the Government willing to make an award to an offeror submitting the lowest price if the non-pricing features of its proposal indicate a reasonable likelihood that successful project completion will not occur. An unsuccessful project would be, among other things, a project that fails to meet acceptable quality standards of work in a timely and safe manner at reasonable cost.



Factor 1-Detailed Work Plan (Including Schedule)

An offeror should provide a detailed work plan and accompanying schedule pertaining to the sample task order included in the solicitation for pricing by the offerors. The Government intends to evaluate work plans and accompanying schedules in order to assess each offeror's capabilities for planning and executing task order work in an effective and efficient manner. Technical competency for identifying in detail the separate tasks to be performed as well as an offeror's capabilities for the planning of project execution will be evaluated. The Government will also evaluate an offeror's ability to identify and obtain, in a timely, manner all resources (labor, equipment and materials) that will be needed for accomplishment of task order work involved. Offerors should identify all risk issues associated with the sample task order project and described proposed mitigating measures as to how these potential difficulties will be overcome.



Factor 2-Past Performance

- a. The Government shall evaluate information about each offeror's past performance. Past performance pertains to how well an offeror has performed past work. (Past performance is not the same as experience which pertains to what types of work an offeror has performed.) The Government will do so in light of the requirements of the solicitation. For the purpose of this evaluation "Past Performance" means an offeror's reputation for satisfying its customers by delivering (i) quality construction work (ii) in a timely manner (iii) at a reasonable cost (iv) in a safe working environment as well as its reputation for (v) reasonable and cooperative conduct, and (vi) overall commitment to customer satisfaction.



Factor 2-Past Performance

- b. An offeror should provide written evidence of these past performance qualities in the form of, but not limited to, letters and certificates of commendation and recommendation, performance awards, performance evaluations, evidence of repeat work with the same clients or owners (particularly when non-competitively obtained), etc. Offerors are encouraged to solicit and obtain letters of recommendation from past owners and clients from which contemporaneous written documentation may not be presently available.



Factor 2-Past Performance

- c. If an offeror is a joint venture or partnership, information pertaining to (i) through (vi) above should be presented for each partner in the joint venture or partnership (unless the information being presented is in regard to the joint venture or partnership as constituted in response to this solicitation). Although the Government prefers all members of a joint venture or partnership to have satisfied or excelled in all the above indicated areas pertaining to their past performance, the Government will consider the complementary aspects of the separate participants in a joint venture or partnership, by evaluating the joint venture or partnership as a whole. However, in the latter case, the assigned adjective ratings are likely to be lower than in the former situation.



Factor 2-Past Performance

- d. In addition to the evidence submitted by an offeror, the Government may consider information from other sources, included but not limited to: past and present customers and their current and former employees; current and former employees of an offeror; federal, state and local agencies (including court records); and private consumer organizations. Any adverse past performance information obtained in this manner, for which there is no documented reputable information from the offeror also available, will be brought to the attention of the Source Selection Authority during the evaluation process for clarification.



Factor 2-Past Performance

- e. Offerors are reminded, however, that while the Government may elect to consider data obtained from other sources, the burden of proving successful past performance (or low performance risk) rests with the offeror.
- f. In the event that an offeror does not have a record of past performance, a written explanation stating the reason(s) why no record is available is required. In this latter case, a *neutral* rating with *unknown risk* for this evaluation factor will be assigned.



Factor 3-Contractor's Experience

- a. The Government shall evaluate information about each offeror's experience performing construction similar to the types and kinds of work described in the solicitation scope of work Section 01010. Experience pertains to the types of work an offeror has performed. The Government shall assign higher ratings (give greater weight) for an offeror's experience in managing and executing construction projects that may or may not involve minor design that involve projects between \$5 million and \$25 million in value concerning the types of work described in the scope of work portion of this solicitation. Projects that were performed in the last four years, which were performed in Afghanistan will be given greater weight in the evaluation process. An offeror can also obtain a higher rating by providing examples of significantly more projects than are requested.



Factor 3-Contractor's Experience

- b. The Government will consider the experience of the individual members of a joint venture or partnership. However, an offeror with previous applicable experience as a joint venture or partnership will be given greater weight than a newly formed joint venture or partnership that has no previous experience of working together.



Factor 3-Contractor's Experience

- c. An offeror should identify at least five construction projects performed during the last eight years that contain construction that is similar to the types of work to be performed in accordance with this solicitation. The following information should be provided concerning each of these projects: projects' name; description of the projects involved; description of the work actually performed by an offeror; whether the offeror was the prime contractor or a subcontractor; location of the project; when the project was completed (if not completed, current progress percentage); dollar value of the project; and dollar value of the work actually performed by the offeror.



Factor 3-Contractor's Experience

d. Pertinent experience pertaining to the significant amounts of work that is to be performed by subcontractors should also be provided.



Factor 4-Plan for Maximum Use of Local Resources

The Government will evaluate each offeror's planned approach for the maximum use of local (within Afghanistan) resources such as personnel, supplies, materials, equipment, and suppliers and subcontractors. An offeror should present its plan in a comprehensive and convincing manner. Details concerning specific locations and sources for obtaining these resources in a timely manner should be provided. An offeror should also convincingly explain how it will integrate and coordinate the work efforts of these local (within Afghanistan) resources with work performed by its own personnel. Offeror's will receive greater weight for proposals that solicitation. Unsupported, impractical or unattainable use of Afghan personnel conversely could result in lower adjective and higher risk ratings.



Factor 5-Demonstration of Ability to Operate in Multiple Regions of Afghanistan

An offeror should provide a complete and convincing presentation as to how it will coordinate, management, and control resources that are simultaneously dispersed to multiple locations within Afghanistan that might occur as the result of the issuance of a single task order project. This presentation should include an explanation as to how the obtaining of local (within Afghanistan) personnel resources (and other resources if applicable) will be conducted in such a manner as to enhance or favorably influence the offeror's overall security situation. An offeror should explain in detail what it anticipates as security threats and how those threats are to be mitigated.



Factor Price

- a. The Government will evaluate proposed price offers in order to determine their completeness and reasonableness. The Government will perform its price evaluation by comparing an offeror's proposed prices for the sample task order against the Government's estimate as well as against each of the other offerors price proposals. Price evaluations will not result in the assignment of adjective ratings. However, the Government will seek to identify performance risks associated with the proposed price offers.



Factor Price

- b. *Completeness* is the degree to which an offeror has priced all aspects of the sample task work to be performed.
- c. *Reasonableness*: The Government will determine the *reasonableness* of an offeror's price proposal by taking into account the reasonableness of the technical approaches involved, comparison of the offered prices to the Independent Government Estimate (IGE), and a comparison of the prices proposed with the prices offered by the other offerors. This latter type of comparison is designed to help determine the fair market value of the work that has been priced.



Sample Task Order

- May be awarded
- Proposal Schedule
- Cost Breakdown Sheets



INQUIRIES

Inquiries concerning this solicitation document shall be faxed to the issuing office: US Army Corps of Engineers, Transatlantic Programs Center, ATTN: CETAC-CT-A/Edna L. Pond, phone: (540) 665-3679, fax: (540) 665-4033 and or e-mail Edna.L.Pond@usace.army.mil. All technical inquiries must be received at least ten (10) days in advance of the proposal receipt date to afford adequate time to reply to the inquiry. Answers to questions shall be provided to all offerors being solicited. Offerors are instructed specifically to contact only the solicitation issuing office in connection with any aspect of this requirement prior to contract award. In order to expedite the Government's review and response to questions, offerors are encouraged to classify and submit their technical questions by the following classifications: Civil; Geotechnical; Structural; Architectural; Mechanical; and Electrical.



Solicitation Websites

- AED Website

<http://www.aed.usace.army.mil/contracting.asp>

- AED FTP Site

<ftp://ftp.usace.army.mil/pub/aed/>



PRE-SOLICITATION MEETING

31-May-07

SOLICITATIONS W917PM-07-R-0105 & W917PM-07-R-0110

MATOC GENERAL CONSTRUCTION

LIST #1

NAME	COMPANY	E-MAIL ADDRESS
BRIAN COX	Dyn Corp Int.	brian.cox@dyn-intl.com
Tommy Manning	Dyn Corp Int	tmanning@dyn-intl.com
David Rutherford	Dyn Corp Int	david-rutherford@dyn-intl.com
LEVENT ATACAY	FEN - S.V.	l.atacay@fen-sv.com
blamo mordeke	Omnia Construction	blamo@omniacn.com
SHARON OLYN	~	sharon.olyon@sharonable.com
rick green	JOINTEST	RTGreen@jointest.com
H. ERIN DAUISOGU	YUKSEL	hdavisogu@yuksel.net
H. Radebay	Globe	radie.radebay@globalgroup.com

	<u>NAME</u>	<u>COMPANY</u>	<u>E-MAIL ADDRESS</u>
11	Brad Olson	DTE	bolson@peggroup.com
12	Scott Johnson	DTE	bjohnson@peggroup.com
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

PRE-SOLICITATION MEETING

31-May-07

SOLICITATIONS W917PM-07-R-0105 & W917PM-07-R-0110

MATOC GENERAL CONSTRUCTION

LIST # 2

<u>NAME</u>	<u>COMPANY</u>	<u>E-MAIL ADDRESS</u>
1 D GUNN	ECCI	DGUNN@ECC.NET
2 Mike Meuleners	ECC	mmeuleners@ecc.net
3 DAVID TESNER	Shaw	DAVID.TESNER@shawgrp.com
4 Jim Ashburner	Shaw	james.ashburner@shawgrp.com
5 William Malle	Shaw	William.Malle@shawgrp.com
6 Sander Ethelham	Shaw	Sander.ethelham@shawgrp.com
7 Les Fox	TOLTEST	LES.FOX@TOLTEST.COM
8 Bruce Walker	PERINI	BWALKER@PERINI.COM
9 Oktay Ogunc	AYDENIZ	oogunc@aydeniz.com
10 Mehmet Simsek	AYDENIZ	mehmet@aydeniz.com

	<u>NAME</u>	<u>COMPANY</u>	<u>E-MAIL ADDRESS</u>
11	TIM STEAR	Control Risks	tim.stear@control-risks.com
12	CHARLIE FIFE	control risks	charlie.fife@control-risks.com
13	ROCK CHILL	SSG	info@ssgafg.com
14	ANIS CHILL	SSG	info@ssgafg.com
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

PRE-SOLICITATION MEETING

31-May-07

SOLICITATIONS W917PM-07-R-0105 & W917PM-07-R-0110

MATOC GENERAL CONSTRUCTION

LIST # 3

NAME

COMPANY

E-MAIL ADDRESS

1	Lee Davis	Contract	ldavis@contract.com
2	Labil Bandari	Contract	Lbandari@contract.com
3	Abdul Samyad	Contract with me	asamyad@contract.com
4	SAYED ISMAEEL SADATH	SBSCC	SbSc-co@yahoo.com
5	Mohd. Naser	AHDAAAS	naserhanifas@gmail.com
6	Al-Aydar Arvan	Mehy	Mehy@matig.com.tr
7	Cem Er	Mehy ME7AG	" "
8	Em, Sung-mun	cm114A	*smeom@cm114a.co.kr
9	Abdul Rahuman	Krimar	Abdul@krimar.co.kr
10	Is Khan	SGI	shamsplc@yahoo.co.uk

	<u>NAME</u>	<u>COMPANY</u>	<u>E-MAIL ADDRESS</u>
11	Brend Olson	RTI	bolson@paegroup.com
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

PRE-SOLICITATION MEETING

31-May-07

SOLICITATIONS W917PM-07-R-0105 & W917PM-07-R-0110

MATOC GENERAL CONSTRUCTION

LIST # 4

NAME	COMPANY	E-MAIL ADDRESS
Lee, C. J.	Krima	leecc@krima.co.kr leecc@krima.co.kr
Sohn, J. S.	"	jssohn@krima.co.kr
Lee, C. J.	"	leecc@krima.co.kr
Sam, S. G.	"	samsam@krima.co.kr
Yoo M. Noorany	TI	ynoorany@technologistsinc.com
Zia H. Raffie	TECHNOLOGISTS INC.	zhraffig@yahoo.com
A. Salil	TI	a.salil@technologistsinc.com
JAY Kim	Geophyte	jaykimjngsoo@gmail.com
Pack	Geophyte	h-andi@hanmail.net
Muhammad	Geophyte	p-andi@hanmail.net

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10

	<u>NAME</u>	<u>COMPANY</u>	<u>E-MAIL ADDRESS</u>
11	Eng: Abdel gaderi	Haraki Construction Company	info@haraki.com
12	Daryl Greenway	ITSI	dgreenway@itsi.com
13	BEVILLE STEVENS	ITSI	ASTEVENS@ITSI.COM
14	Attale Reader Durrany	DICC	durrany-07@hotmail.com
15	Hamed Zia	CAM Group	camkabul@yahoo.com
16	RESI ABERHAM	Seven Seas Group	res_i.abraham@sevenseasgroup.com
17	JOE LEATHERWOOD	JPL CONST.	joeleatherwood@hotmail.com
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			